UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,383	02/10/2004	Prasad Ram	157657-0004	9903
IRELL & MAN 1800 AVENUE	7590 10/18/200 VELLA LLP E OF THE STARS	7 .	EXAMINER CHAVIS, JOHN Q	
SUITE 900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			\mathcal{L}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
	10/775,383	RAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Chavis	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e. cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	February 2004					
_						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52 .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		en received in this National Stag	je			
application from the International Burea		ak as a size d				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/5/07.	5) L Notice o 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application				
	-/					

Art Unit: 2193

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahapatro (6,571,215).

What is claimed is:

1. A system for adaptive functional composition of software components, to fulfill requests for higher-order functions comprising:

a catalog of system resources including tools, applications, web services, and data repositories each implementing one or more functions and constraint information relating to the system resources;

a constraint solver configured to select a subset of the system resources based upon the request and the constraint information in said catalog; <u>Mahapatro</u>

See the title and the abstract and note that the assigning and scheduling features provide for the adaptive functions.

The list of tasks in col. 1 lines 26-38 is considered to represent the catalog.

The constraint solver is considered taught via col. 1 lines 56-52.

and a composer configured

See col. 1 lines 47-55. The tasks are

Application/Control Number: 10/775,383

Art Unit: 2193

to optimally sequence the functions and cause execution of the sequence of functions based upon the selection of system resources by said constraint solver.

sequenced (optimally sequenced) based on the constraints. See also col. 5 lines 11-44 and col. 6 lines 6-18, which specifies the selection of resources to maximize utilization and minimize idle times.

- 2. The system of claim 1, wherein said constraint information comprises: (i) function hierarchies of abstract function comprised of primitive functions, and
- See col. 5 lines 45-62 and col. 6 lines 25-67, which discusses dependencies (hierarchies) and grouping tasks for scheduling purposes (ordering relations).

(ii) ordering relations between functions.

In reference to claims 9-10, see fig. 3 processes 1-3. Also, see fig. 4, step 110 in which the groups are considered to represent the specific domains.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Chavis whose telephone number is (571) 272-3720. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:30pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,383

Art Unit: 2193

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC

John Chavis

Primary Examiner AU-2193